

Expanding the Scope of “Exchange”—The SEC’s Latest Proposal

January 26, 2022

At the January 26, 2022, SEC Open Meeting, the Commission voted 3 to 1 to:

- Propose amendments to Exchange Act Rule 3b-16 (the definition of “Exchange”);
- Re-propose a modified Regulation ATS for ATSs that Trade U.S. Government Securities (with some other Reg ATS amendments throw in); and
- Re-propose changes to Regulation SCI for ATSs that trade U.S. Treasury and Agency Securities

Weighing in at a whopping 654 pages, with 224 requests for feedback (many of which are multi-part), [the proposal](#) also has a 30-day comment period. To be fair, as Chair Gensler pointed out, the Federal Register is apparently on a six-to-eight week backlog, so interested parties can begin planning their responses now, well in advance of when the 30-day clock will start to tick on publication.

A Defining Exchange

At a very high level, the proposal advances the idea of changing the definition of “exchange” to include “systems that offer the use of non-firm trading interest and communication protocols to bring together buyers and sellers of securities.” Specifically, under proposed amendments to Exchange Act Rule 3b-16(a), the definition of exchange would include “Communication Protocol Systems” (CPSs):

...that make available for trading any type of security, including, among others, government securities, corporate bonds, municipal securities, NMS stocks, equity securities that are not NMS stocks, private restricted securities, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, foreign sovereign debt, and options.

In its discussion, the SEC also notes that there are no exemptions due to how “thinly traded or **novel** a security may be” (emphasis added). It is apparent that this is yet another shot across the bow for crypto exchanges and fixed-income trading systems: If you are trading what the SEC considers to be a security, they expect you to register as an exchange or an ATS (whether or not this proposal goes through final approval).

The SEC lists several examples of CPSs, including Request for Quote (RFQ) systems, conditional order systems, “stream axes” (whether IOI or firm, negotiated or auto-ex), and even negotiated orders initiated via OMS/EMS scraping systems. However, the Commission also reiterated that the functions of a traditional broker-dealer would not be swept into the definition of an exchange, listing several examples in Footnote 72, i.e.,

...systems that route orders to a national securities exchange, a market operated by a national securities association, a broker-dealer for execution, or systems that allow persons to enter orders for execution against the bids and offers of a single dealer if certain additional conditions are met.

The SEC is also proposing an additional exemption for issuers to sell their own securities to investors. Further, the SEC clarified general connectivity for communication without protocols, such as chat providers, would not necessarily fall within the scope of the definition.

At its core, this change in definition is intended to close a “loophole” that has existed since 1998. By excluding non-firm orders from the definition of exchange, the SEC allowed for a tremendous amount of wiggle room in defining exactly what was considered “firm.” If approved, this amendment will close that door rather, shall we say, firmly.

Expanding ATS and SCI

The proposal also looks to repropose the expansion of Regulation ATS to define as “Government Securities ATSs” those ATSs that trade government securities or repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements on government securities. The definition for a Government Security ATS will follow the definition of ATS, which, of course, would now bring CPSs that handle these securities into scope. The Commission notes that firms that might want to trade both NMS stocks as well as government securities would have to file separate Form ATS-N for each system (and if trading non-government securities, such as corporate debt securities, potentially a separate Form ATS for that trading).

The new rule would also require Government Securities ATSs that meet certain volume thresholds to comply with the Fair Access Rule with respect to trading in U.S. Treasury Securities and Agency Securities (as defined in the Rule). If such a firm hits 3% of the U.S. Treasury Securities market (based on volume reported to TRACE) in four of the prior six months, then the Fair Access Rule will be applied to that ATS. For Agency Securities, the threshold for the Fair Access Rule to apply is 5%. [Greenwich MarketView](#) provides detailed volume figures for the key government securities platforms over time.

Regulation SCI will also be expanded under the proposal to include Government Securities ATSs that hit 5% or more of the average weekly dollar volume traded in U.S. Treasury Securities or 5% or more of the average daily dollar volume traded in Agency Securities in four of the previous six months.

A Whopper of a Filing

As noted above, this is a massive endeavor by the SEC, preceded by a September 2020 proposal, which itself had significant industry feedback. The scope of this proposal is somewhat astonishing and gives a lot of insight into the ambition of the Gensler administration. As we said in our recent Greenwich Report [Top Market Structure Trends to Watch in 2022](#), the SEC is a trend all its own this year.

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.