Crisil
Coalition
Greenwich


Global Asset Management: Can DEI Have an Impact on AUM?

June 15, 2022

Asset managers' performance on diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) is coming under increased scrutiny by investors and playing a growing role in the competition for institutional mandates.

Approximately 70% of asset owners from around the world taking part in the Coalition Greenwich 2022 Institutional Investors Study say DEI standards play at least some role in their selection of asset managers. That finding points to one clear conclusion: Asset managers competing for institutional mandates should be working to ensure their policies for DEI are in line with best practices and that their commitment to DEI is clearly communicated to clients and prospects.

Importance of DEI in Manager Selection—by Region




Note: Numbers in parentheses represent number of respondents. Numbers may not total 100 due to rounding.
Source: Coalition Greenwich 2022 Institutional Investors Study

U.S. Endowments and Foundations Leading the Way on DEI

The push for DEI standards is being led by institutions in North America. Across the United States, almost three-quarters (73%) of institutions say DEI standards now play a role in their selection of asset managers, with a third rating DEI as an "extremely" or "very" important consideration. The share of Canadian institutions reporting that DEI policies have a bearing on manager selection is even larger at 78%, with nearly 40% describing DEI as "extremely" or "very" important.

U.S. endowments and foundations are at the vanguard of this movement. Almost 90% of the U.S. endowments and foundations in the study say DEI standards play a role in manager selection, with 56%

describing DEI as an “extremely” or “very” important factor. Among public pension plans, nearly three-quarters (72%) say DEI considerations have a bearing on manager selections, with more than a third describing DEI as “very important” to their selection process. Approximately two-thirds of corporate pension plans say DEI can influence manager selection, with slightly less than a quarter rating that influence as “very important.”



Note: Numbers in parentheses represent number of respondents. Numbers may not total 100 due to rounding.
Source: Coalition Greenwich 2022 Institutional Investors Study

It's not hard to understand why DEI has risen to such prominence in North America. Throughout the U.S., racial and gender discrimination, social justice and economic inequality are at the forefront of public discussion, with a confluence of events prompting action from many large corporations and financial institutions.

Of course, DEI’s impact on the competition for investment mandates is not limited to North America. European investors are broadly acknowledged as the world leaders in the push for environmental, social and governance (ESG) standards. However, when it comes adopting specific DEI metrics in manager searches, they are taking a more measured approach than their peers in the U.S., Canada and Asia. Across Europe, countries in the Nordics are the most likely to say DEI plays a role in manager selection and to rate that role as extremely or very important.

A Focus on Gender and Race

What exactly are asset owners looking at when they assess the DEI policies of asset managers competing for their mandates? Around the world, gender is by far the primary area of focus, followed by race/ethnicity. U.S. institutions are substantially the most likely to report that they consider these factors. The U.S. is also the only region in which respondents are more likely to cite race/ethnicity than gender as a consideration, albeit by the slim margin of 93% to 91%.

At 41%, U.S. institutions are also much more likely than their counterparts around the world to consider DEI policies related to the LGBTQ community when selecting managers. Institutions in the United Kingdom are the most likely to consider age as part of their DEI review.


Building Internal DEI Capabilities

Institutions in the United States are much more likely than other asset owners to maintain internal resources devoted DEI. Almost 60% of U.S. institutions (58%) have put in place dedicated DEI departments or staff. That compares to just 31% of institutions in the U.K., fewer than a quarter in Canada and less than 1 in 5 in Continental Europe and Asia.

Throughout North America, corporates have taken the lead. In the U.S., nearly 70% of corporates have established internal departments or staff devoted to DEI, compared with 62% of endowments and foundations, 45% of public pensions and 31% of unions. In Canada, corporates are more than three times more likely than other institutions to have internal DEI capabilities, at 36%.

It's hardly a surprise that around the world, larger institutions are most likely to maintain dedicated DEI staffing. That differential is especially pronounced in the U.S., where roughly 60% of institutions with more than $500 million in assets have in-house DEI capabilities, compared to about 40% of smaller institutions.

Dedicated DEI Resources—U.S. Institutions by Type



Note: Based on 141 respondents.
Source: Coalition Greenwich 2022 Institutional Investors Study

DEI Challenges

What are the headwinds to further adoption of DEI standards in the global investment management industry? According to asset owners, the biggest impediments they face are difficulties measuring progress (cited by about two-thirds of institutions) and the related issue of inconsistent definitions of DEI terms and standards (cited by 56%). Roughly 80% of U.K. asset owners cite difficulty measuring progress as a challenge in advancing DEI, and three-quarters of institutions in Continental Europe cite inconsistent definitions. In both the U.K. and Canada, approximately 45% of institutions say lack of buy-in from leadership represents a challenge to DEI progress—a problem that is also cited by many smaller institutions around the world.

These challenges are not unique to DEI. Investors globally have been frustrated by the lack of consistent and

reliable metrics on ESG overall. These difficulties have given rise to numerous efforts to standardize definitions, data and performance metrics for ESG. For example, the International Sustainability Standards Board is working to establish baseline reporting requirements related to all ESG categories, including DEI issues. Regulators in the European Union and the U.S. are in the midst of similar projects that could help speed the adoption of DEI and other ESG standards and goals.

Recommendation for Managers: Communicate Commitment

Globally, more than 80% of institutions rate their managers' DEI efforts as average or above average.

However, despite these passing grades, there is work to be done, as relatively few institutional investors—fewer than 1 in 10—rate their manager's DEI efforts as "excellent." Given the significant steps that many asset management organizations have taken to diversify their workforces and address other social issues, it's likely that some asset managers are not doing enough to thoughtfully communicate their commitment to DEI. Going forward, managers have an opportunity to improve results by making it a point to explain the progress they have made on DEI issues to both clients in regular meetings and materials, and to prospects, early and often in the engagement process.

Coalition Greenwich welcomes the opportunity to share additional information about how expectations and perceptions are changing in institutional asset management. Diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) is one of many topics covered in our ongoing 2022 Institutional Investors Study. Contact Us to learn more about our research offerings.

---

www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Crisil Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of Crisil Ltd, a company of S&P Global. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Crisil Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Crisil Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data contained in the Document is based upon a particular bank's scope, which reflects a bank's data submission, business structure, and sales revenue Reporting methodology. As a result, any data contained in the Document may not be directly comparable to data presented to another bank. For franchise benchmarking, Crisil Coalition Greenwich has implemented equal ranking logic on aggregate results i.e., when sales revenues are within 5% of at least one competitor ahead, a tie is shown and designated by = (where actual ranks are shown). Entity level data has no equal ranking logic implemented and therefore, on occasion, the differences between rank bands can be very close mathematically.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Crisil Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Crisil Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF

ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. CRISIL COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Crisil Coalition Greenwich is a part of Crisil Ltd., an S&P Global company. ©2025 Crisil Ltd. All rights reserved.