

SEF volumes died last week – so when and where will they return?

February 22, 2014

Turned out the first week of mandatory SEF trading was a Big Bang, just in the wrong direction. Reported SEF volumes for interest rate swaps fell off a cliff for the week of February 17th, cliff signdropping 64% (revised down slightly as new data became available) from the 2014 weekly average SEF traded volume (thanks as always to [Clarus for compiling the numbers](#)). Digging a little deeper, it appears a big chunk of this drop came from the market formerly known as dealer-to-dealer, with dealer-to-client platform volumes faring slightly better **only** dropping by about half. Some of overall the decline can be attributed to schools being closed in New York and Connecticut and volumes going on Vermont vacations with the trading desk. But that is of course not the real story this year.

The dropped wasn't terribly unexpected. Using the November 1 no-action expiry as a guide, market participants we spoke with clearly stated their wait-and-see approach hoping someone else would find any kinks in the system before they did. This is a prudent approach, especially for asset managers, whose fiduciary responsibility tells them not to put their clients at any greater risk than is necessary. And despite CFTC guidance the week before, SEF governance issues, uncertainty around packaged trades and an insufficient infrastructure for bunched trade allocation also keep asset managers restrained through week 1.

Uncertainty alone can't keep market participants away from a mandate however. A mandate means SEF trading is, well, mandatory. The problem (or solution, depending on who you ask) was that the mandatory scope was so narrow that it was pretty easy to avoid. Going offshore was certainly a big option. Booking trades against LTD instead of LLC was an easy choice for funds incorporated offshore. The other approach was to book interest rate swaps with a forward starting date one day in the future, which has limited impact on the resulting exposure but keeps the trade from the mandatory bucket (which only includes spot starting trades).

I don't want to go so far as to call these options loopholes – I think a better way to describe them is options outside the limited scope of the MAT determination. Furthermore these "options" won't be around forever which further keeps them away from loophole status. The MAT list will slowly expand over the coming months and years and our research tells us that the CFTC and other global regulators are acutely focused on extraterritoriality. But in the mean time the SEF requirement is only a requirement for a select few.

Looking ahead the CDS (index) trading mandate this Wednesday (Feb 26) might prove more interesting. With CDX and iTraxx already liquid and standard, the option to go off-the-run or forward starting is less appealing. Sure you could trade the CDX.IG.9 but the wider bid ask spread is probably not worth the cost just to stay off SEF. Expect to see a much faster pickup of CDS on SEF, although again more slanted to dealer markets as dealers do the majority of CDS trading.

Looking longer term and bigger picture this first week's drop doesn't tell us much about what SEFs will do to the swaps market over the long run. What it does do in many ways however is level the playing field for the major SEF competitors, especially between the traditional client platforms. Volumes will need to slowly make their way on SEF (or DCM to be technically accurate) and as clients begin to wade further into the water they will have the option to pick the platform that best suits their needs knowing what we know today. So I wouldn't say that SEF volumes don't matter anymore, but we are finally out of spring training and entering the regular season.

www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the

trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.