Coalition

Package trade rules - can they do that?

May 7, 2014

On Thursday May 1 the CFTC released an <u>eagerly awaited clarifying document</u> outlining when and how package trades would be required on SEF. Packaged transactions will be phased in by type from May 15th through November 15th, and to deal with the pre-trade credit checking issue (checking limits against each leg as opposed to the limit utilization of the total package) no-action relief has been provided until September 15th.

From an execution point of view, those SEFs that have historically provided execution for packaged transactions should have little issue putting in place the necessary controls to meet the deadlines. The September 15th credit check deadline will be a tougher one to meet, with the onus on the credit hubs (Markit and Traiana) and the clearinghouses, although not impossible. What leave's me still perplexed is not these operational issues but instead issues of jurisdiction and fair access



Photo Credit: Marc Falardeu

Jurisdiction

Per the rules, only swaps that are made available to trade must be traded on a SEF. If you want to trade non-MAT instruments on a many-to-many platform, that also must be done on a SEF - but in that case the singledealer platform option still remains. But now, per the CFTC's package guidance, non-MAT instruments that are part of a MAT/non-MAT package must be traded on a SEF full stop.

Then we have the MAT/UST package trades. While many SEFs run parallel liquidity pools for trading US Treasury securities, trading in those instruments falls under the jurisdiction of the SEC and must be done through a registered broker dealer, not a SEF. And while we're we're on the jurisdiction issue, the final package category - CFTC jurisdiction swaps over non-CFTC jurisdiction swaps - raises the same question. How can the CFTC direct trading of either a UST or security-based swap when those products are under the jurisdiction of the SEC?

Don't get me wrong - these packaged transactions should and ultimately will be traded on SEF. Bringing them on the platforms will create more liquidity and transparency across the board which of course is the ultimate goal of this whole exercise. But even with the SEC still distracted by high frequency traders and kill-switches, I don't think the CFTC has the legal authority to step on their toes and start regulating their markets. Maybe they should, but that is a topic for another post. Under the current guidance it also seems unlikely that MAT/UST packages could ever trade in an order book. Participants in such an order book would need certainty that the counterparty on the other side had the ability to trade UST (via access to an FICC account, etc.). To gain that certainty, SEFs would have to require this of participants which either infringes on the fair access rule, or changes the definition of eligible contract participant (ECP).

Package transactions are a huge part of the market and need to move on SEF for the desired end-game to ever be reached, however the timelines set forth by the CFTC seem somewhat too aggressive. MAT/MAT on the 15th will work, but more time spent on defining the MAT/UST flow would be prudent.

www.greenwich.com | ContactUs@greenwich.com

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit <u>www.crisil.com</u>

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.