

Transparency In Treasuries

June 6, 2016

Market structure principles, not prescriptions, are needed for the U.S. Treasury market

The U.S. Treasury market needs more oversight. Our research and my personal observations over the past several years have made that clear. Oversight, however, does not mean highly prescriptive rules that dictate everything from when you're allowed to pick up the phone to acceptable electronic trading protocols. Oversight in this case should consist of guiding principles, albeit enforceable ones that ensure regulators have a clear view into market activity and that limit the likelihood of systemic shock whether due to economic events or software bugs.

One of the biggest "principles" being debated now is the need for real-time trade reporting. It is generally seen to be a good thing - but only if implemented properly. And the definition of properly, unsurprisingly, is different depending on who you ask.

Let the Regulators See

We made our view pretty clear in the research we published examining the UST market last fall:

"The level of opacity that still exists in the market for the debt issued by the largest economy in the world is stunning"

So yes, the UST market needs reporting. The questions then are exactly of what and to whom?

First, the indisputable: U.S. regulators should be given access to as much real-time trading data as possible. They do not have access to technology and people to truly monitor that data in real-time, with a goal towards taking action if something goes awry. That said, simply having that data available at a moment's notice to figure out what is or did go on is a step forward. Even if certain market participants would rather not be so transparent to Washington, they could never say that publically and survive. As such, they are all on the bandwagon.

Unfortunately, creating and enforcing rules requiring reporting to regulators still leaves much of the market opaque. Remember that this is an OTC market, so trading does not need to include anyone other than the two counterparties. This means that every market participant would have to find a way to self-report without an exchange to do it for them. Furthermore, roughly 60 percent of U.S. government debt is held by foreign governments and sovereign wealth funds. Trading from these entities with other non-U.S. entities will be hard if not impossible to track.

Things would also get sticky if bank-internalized trades had to be reported. It is nearly impossible to estimate how much volume this constitutes, but suffice to say the volume executed within the biggest primary dealers is material. And determining which types of internalized trades needed to be reported, such as those between affiliates of that bank, is non-trivial. This issue led to years of debate after The Dodd-Frank Act was passed, and I suspect the complexities (and pushback) here would be even greater given near ubiquitous use of U.S. Treasuries across business lines.

How public is public?

Then there is public reporting, similar to what the U.S. corporate bond market has via TRACE. The issues outlined above all still apply here, but the cost/benefit analysis for public reporting is less clear. As a market structure analyst, I love the idea of more available data. Smaller, less active market participants might also find more trade data useful as they work to ensure the price they are giving or receiving is within line with the rest of the market.

But even for smaller market participants, does the U.S. Treasury market really have a transparency problem?

We've had many conversations with market participants that feel price transparency in the U.S. Treasury market is actually quite good. If you are trading or investing in U.S. Treasuries for a living, the data available via trading venues and market data providers is quite robust, especially considering the lack of required reporting and/or a central "tape."

This all leaves me conflicted as to the public good that will be had by requiring all U.S. Treasury trades to be openly reported. On one hand, given the importance of the market, it would benefit not just investors but would help set mortgage rates and funding the government of the largest economy in the world. Of course, the taxpayers should have a clear view into its functioning.

But on the other hand, does the transparency found in public reporting outweigh the costs? Not just the hard dollar costs of setting up and maintaining the reporting infrastructure, but also the harder to measure costs of making all trades visible to competitors and predators alike. If spreads widen and liquidity dries up even slightly, it is the taxpayers who will pay via their retirement accounts.

The reality though, regardless of which side you sit, is that public reporting is coming. The market is too big and too important and receives too much political attention to remain even slightly opaque. Furthermore, it is increasingly hard to argue (despite my arguments above) that the U.S. Treasury market should continue on without reporting requirements when the equity market, futures market, swaps market and corporate bond markets all require trades to be reported. As such, it is now more prudent to begin discussing the way forward that provides the greatest ROI to the market as opposed to arguing against it happening at all.

That however, is equally unrealistic.

Also Posted: <http://www.tradersmagazine.com>

Coalition Greenwich, a division of CRISIL, an S&P Global Company, is a leading global provider of strategic benchmarking, analytics and insights to the financial services industry.

We specialize in providing unique, high-value and actionable information to help our clients improve their business performance.

Our suite of analytics and insights encompass all key performance metrics and drivers: market share, revenue performance, client relationship share and quality, operational excellence, return on equity, behavioral drivers, and industry evolution.

About CRISIL

CRISIL is a leading, agile and innovative global analytics company driven by its mission of making markets function better. It is majority owned by S&P Global Inc., a leading provider of transparent and independent ratings, benchmarks, analytics, and data to the capital and commodity markets worldwide.

CRISIL is India's foremost provider of ratings, data, research, analytics, and solutions with a strong record of growth, culture of innovation, and global footprint.

It has delivered independent opinions, actionable insights and efficient solutions to over 100,000 customers through businesses that operate from India, the U.S., the U.K., Argentina, Poland, China, Hong Kong, and Singapore.

For more information, visit www.crisil.com

Disclaimer and Copyright

This Document is prepared by Coalition Greenwich, which is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. All rights reserved. This Document may contain analysis of commercial data relating to revenues, productivity and headcount of financial services organisations (together with any other commercial information set out in the Document). The Document may also include statements, estimates and projections with respect to the anticipated future performance of certain companies and as to the market for those companies' products and services.

The Document does not constitute (or purport to constitute) an accurate or complete representation of past or future activities of the businesses or companies considered in it but rather is designed to only highlight the trends. This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a comprehensive Document on the financial state of any business or company. The Document represents the views of Coalition Greenwich as on the date of the Document and Coalition Greenwich has no obligation to update or change it in the light of new or additional information or changed circumstances after submission of the Document.

This Document is not (and does not purport to be) a credit assessment or investment advice and should not form basis of any lending, investment or credit decision. This Document does not constitute nor form part of an offer or invitation to subscribe for, underwrite or purchase securities in any company. Nor should this

Document, or any part of it, form the basis to be relied upon in any way in connection with any contract relating to any securities. The Document is not an investment analysis or research and is not subject to regulatory or legal obligations on the production of, or content of, investment analysis or research.

The data in this Document may reflect the views reported to Coalition Greenwich by the research participants. Interviewees may be asked about their use of and demand for financial products and services and about investment practices in relevant financial markets. Coalition Greenwich compiles the data received, conducts statistical analysis and reviews for presentation purposes to produce the final results.

THE DOCUMENT IS COMPILED FROM SOURCES COALITION GREENWICH BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE. COALITION GREENWICH DISCLAIMS ALL REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING AS TO THE VALIDITY, ACCURACY, REASONABLENESS OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION, STATEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT. COALITION GREENWICH ACCEPTS NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ANY KIND ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF ALL OR ANY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Coalition Greenwich is a part of CRISIL Ltd, an S&P Global company. ©2024 CRISIL Ltd. All rights reserved.